Breach of Duty in Neurological Conditions: A Paramedic Perspective

Dr Tim Kilner PhD, BN, Dip IMC RCSEd, Dip UMC RCSEd, PGCE, MC Para, SFHEA

Expert Witness – Ambulance & Paramedic Practice

AVMA Webinar Oct 2020

To consider

- Call handling & triage
- Response standards
- Stroke & TIA
- Head (Brain) injury
- Headaches
- Dizziness
- Spinal cord injury
- Seizures
- Where is the evidence



Call handling*

• Origin

- 999 service
- NHS 111 service
- Health care professionals dedicated line



* TMK Not a qualified call handler

- Triage for response
 - Call handlers are not clinicians
 - Initial information and choice of algorithm
 - Target response time
 - Standards varied by devolved administrations
 - Top priority; typically cardiac arrest, respiratory arrest & choking.
 - Most neurological emergencies will fall within the next priority band or below
 - Recognition of time sensitive or time critical needs
 - Pre arrival instructions
 - Further triage & call back
 HCP

Response standards

England

• Category 1

- Immediately life threatening
- Average 7 mins; 90% in 15 mins
- Life threatening condition, such as cardiac or respiratory arrest
- o Category 2
 - $_{\circ}$ Emergency
 - Average 18 mins; 90% in 40 mins
 - Serious condition, such as stroke or chest pain
- Category 3
 - Urgent
 - $_{\circ}$ ~~ 90% in 2 hours
 - urgent problem, such as an uncomplicated diabetic issue
- Category 4
 - Less urgent
 - $_{\circ}$ $\,$ 90% in 3 hours
 - Non-urgent problem, such as stable clinical cases,

Wales

• RED

- Immediately life threatening
- $_{\circ}$ $$ 65% in 8 mins
- imminent danger of death, such as a cardiac arrest
- AMBER
 - Serious but not immediately lifethreatening
 - No national response standard
- GREEN
 - Non urgent
 - No national response standard
 - clinical telephone assessment or handover to other HCP

Response standards

Scotland

- PURPLE
 - Target 75% in 8 mins
- RED
 - Target 75% in 8 mins
- AMBER
 - Target 95% in 19 mins
 - Likely need for HASU
- YELLOW
 - Target 95% in 19 mins
- GREEN

Northern Ireland

- Category 1
 - Potentially Immediately life threatening
 - Average 8 mins; 90% in 15 mins
 - life threatening condition, such as cardiac or respiratory arrest
- o Category 2
 - Potentially serious
 - Average 18 mins; 90% in 40 mins
 - Potentially serious
- o Category 3
 - Urgent
 - 90% in 2 hours
 - urgent problem, such as an uncomplicated diabetic issue,
- Category 4
 - Less urgent
 - $_\circ$ ~~ 90% in 3 hours
 - non-urgent problem, such as stable clinical cases,

Potential for error





NON CLINICIANS ANSWERING CALLS

USING DECISION SUPPORT SOFTWARE

Interpretation of the information from the caller

- Most cases caller is a lay person
- Key questions
 - Conscious?
 - Breathing?
- Nature of the problem & chief complain
- Questions and advice based on algorithm selected
 - 'Assault; electrocution; stab/gunshot/ penetrating (trauma); Traffic accidents'
 - 'Sick person; Unconscious: Unknown problem'
 - 'Back pain (non traumatic); Convulsions/fitting; Headache; stroke'
 - 'Falls/back injuries (Traumatic)'



Some potential errors

Call handling and dispatch



- Incorrect interpretation
- Incorrect response prioritization
- Incorrect or omitted advice
- Influence responder

Some potential errors

Missing key elements of the history

Unwell person

Intoxication and/or dependency

Trauma/chest pain preceding seizure



Known risks but no alternative



- Fall downstairs
- High demand with high priority calls
- \circ No one to send

Balancing risk

- Patient in hospital, but not the right hospital.
 - Hyper Acute Stroke Service
 - Neurosurgical Unit
 - Imaging Services
- HCP requests for transfer



Management by ambulance clinicians Some patterns seen in my medico-legal practice



- Assessment by inclusion
- Temptation to try and diagnose
- Forming an initial view and sticking with it to the exclusion of other alternative explanations
- Awareness, or not, of 'Red Flag' symptoms
- Misdirected by intoxication

Stroke & TIA

- Atypical presentation
 - Exclusion based on negative FAS test
 - Not realizing the importance in changes in balance, mobility, vision ...
 - Assumptions about age
- Attributing symptoms to other explanations
 - 'Lying in a funny position'
- Unnecessary delay on scene
 - Failure to recognize potential time criticality; needs
 - Early assessment
 - Early consultation
 - Early disposition decision
 - Early transportation
- Wrong destination
 - Policy for admission to HASS will be in place
 - Consultation, referral, transfer.
- Disregard possibility of a TIA (symptoms resolved)
 - Delayed referral
 - No referral/consultation



Head injury & non traumatic brain injury

- Missing mechanism of injury obscured by a co-morbidity or intoxication.
- Not recognizing chronic or non traumatic brain injury
- Not giving significance to;
 - changes in behavior
 - vision
 - mobility
 - sensation (neuropathy)
 - light sensitivity
 - neck stiffness
- Attributing nausea and vomiting to gastroenteritis or other GI infection

- Poor and inconsistent application of the Glasgow Coma Scale score.
 - Reliance on AVPU
- Poor or absent assessment of muscle power and tone
- Not limiting risk of secondary insult



Headaches

- Poor or incomplete history taking on base assumption clinical presentation is benign or migraine
 - Onset
 - Location
 - Duration
 - Characteristics
 - Aggravating/alleviating
 - Radiating
 - Timing
 - Severity
 - <u>Associated symptoms</u>
 - Past medical history & co-morbidities
 - Drug history
 - Changes in diet
 - Allergies
 - Hydration
 - Menstrual cycle ...
- Not consulting where necessary
- Poor documentation and record keeping



Dizziness



- Poor or incomplete history taking on base assumption clinical presentation of benign vertigo
 - Onset
 - Location
 - Duration
 - Characteristics
 - Aggravating/alleviating
 - Radiating
 - Timing
 - Severity
 - <u>Associated symptoms</u>
 - Past medical history & co-morbidities
 - Drug history ...
- Not consulting where necessary
- Poor documentation and record keeping

Spinal cord injury

- Missing mechanism of injury obscured by a co-morbidity or intoxication.
- Focus on the C spine and omitting assessment of the complete spinal column
 - Clearing the C spine
- Not recognizing chronic or non traumatic spinal pathology
 - Assumption that low back pain is benign 'mechanical back pain'
 - Not undertaking an adequate assessment to identify focal neurological symptoms, power and tone in the limbs

- Not giving significance to changes in, mobility, sensation (neuropathy.)
- Not giving attention to symptoms being bilateral or unilateral
- Inappropriate treatment interventions
 - Physiotherapy
 - Manipulation
 - Exercises
- Inappropriate or inadequate immobilization and transfer



Seizures

- Base assumption that a first seizure in a child is a febrile convulsion
- Not making the association with other factors (assuming seizure = epilepsy)
 - Hypoglycaemia
 - Infection
 - Neurological symptoms
 - o **Trauma**
 - Alcohol dependency
 - Medicines
 - Drugs



Range of possibilities



- Single explanation for presentation is unlikely – more commonly a range of possibilities
- Other possibilities may be more or less serious than the preferred explanation
- Are there red flag symptoms?
- If discharging the patient on scene can potentially dangerous conditions be positively excluded?

Where to find the evidence

In addition to the standard clinical records

- Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) with Sequence of Events Log
 - For timings of events, chief complaint, coding, allocated resources and response times.
- Internal and External Call Audit
 - For compliance with decision support software and coding decisions
- Audio Files of Calls & Call Transcripts
 - For information underpinning the coding and dispatch decisions as well as the advice provided
- Trust Policy, may be in collaboration with local stroke services, for HASS admissions
 - For Local Disposition to HASS and referral criteria



THANK YOU

... and I hope that you found the session useful

Dr Tim Kilner

expert@tmkconsultancy.co.uk